

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED ST'ATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, l'atent and Trademark Office Address: COMM:SSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | • | | | 1.54 | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
| 09/503,272 | 02/14/2000 | Mikko Maattanen | 297-009192-US(PAR) | 6323 | | |
| 7 | 590 95/24/2004 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| Clarence A G | reen | NGUYEN | NGUYEN, HUY D | | | |
| Perman & Gree | en | | | | | |
| 425 Post Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Fairfield, CT | | 2681 | 10 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/24/200 | DATE MAIL ED: 05/24/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary Systo3,272 | | | Applies | ation No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|
| Examiner | | • | | | | | | |
| Huy D Nguyen Z681 | Office Addison Commence | | | · | MAATTANEN ET AL. | | | |
| The MALL/NG DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eadersions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication reply within the statutory previously (9) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the machine statutory previously and will be equally (6) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the machine statutory previously and will be equally (6) MONTHS from the enabling date of this communication. Fallows to reply within the statute that the replacement of the communication of the proviously the Office author than three making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seemed potent turns adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.764(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/15/2004. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The advanting sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U | | Office Action Summary | Examir | ner | Art Unit | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timidy fliad. Extensions of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timidy fliad. Extensions of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timidy fliad. Extensions of from may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If the provision is sent or support of the provision of t | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherison or from may be available under the provided and one of the common of the provided above in lease then thinky (30) days, a reply within the statutory print interpret (30) MONTH (30 | | | ation appears on t | the cover sheet with | the correspondence addre |)SS | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/15/2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after | ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a repletatutory minimum of thirty (dwill expire SIX (6) MONTHAPPlication to become ABA | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm JDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Status | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>03/15/2004</u> . | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | · — | | | s non-final. | 1 | | | |
| Application of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) | 3)□ | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | closed in accordance with the practice | e under <i>Ex parte</i> (| Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-21</u> is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | vithdrawn from co | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a)⊡ accepted or | b)☐ objected to by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | Applicant may not request that any object | ion to the drawing(s | s) be held in abeyance | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | 11) | , | • | • | · | ` , | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) | Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | a) | All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International | ocuments have b ocuments have b f the priority docu al Bureau (PCT F | een received. een received in App ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)). | olication No eceived in this National St | age | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher: | 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P | | Paper No(s)/ 5) Notice of Info | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-1 | 52) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/503,272

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the restriction requirement (paper No. 8), the applicant has elected claims 1, 22-28, related to display structure, to be examined.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 22-24, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,772) in view of Desblancs et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,456,859).

Regarding claims 1 and 28, Jonsson et al. disclose a cellular telephone that comprises printed circuit board 50, keypad 30, display 40, outer cover 20 (Fig. 2) consisting of two parts 23 & 24, and frame construction 22 (Fig. 2) which is a mechanical entity separated from printed circuit board 50, keypad 30, display 40, outer cover 20, into which the above parts are mechanically coupled (see FIG. 1 and 2 and Col. 3, lines 19-35). Jonsson et al. does not teach reader for a detachable memory module. Desblancs et al. teaches a mobile phone with a memory card reader (see FIG. 1 and Col. 2, lines 24-25). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/503,272

Art Unit: 2681

skill in the art at time the invention was made to include in Jonsson et al.'s telephone a memory card reader as disclosed in Desblancs et al. to allow the phone to receive memory card.

Regarding claims 22 and 24, Jonsson et al. teach a mechanical construction according to claim 1, wherein the display is a separately assembled stack of layers comprising a window, a liquid crystal display unit and a bottom plate (col. 3, lines 28-32, lines 52-53).

Regarding claim 23, Jonsson et al. teach a mechanical construction according to claim 22, wherein one of the outer cover parts of the mobile telecommunication device defines a display opening and has a certain thickness at the edge of said display opening, and said window comprises a portion elevated by said certain thickness for filling said opening so that in an assembled mechanical construction an outer surface of the aggregate formed by said outer cover part and said window is essentially even (col. 3, lines 28-35; Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 26 and 27, Jonsson et al. teach a mechanical construction according to claim 22, comprising, at the edges of said display, mechanical means for aligning the display in relation with the printed circuit board (col. 4, lines 37-38, lines 34-43).

5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,772) in view of Desblancs et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,456,859) and Beiswenger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,958,911).

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Jonsson et al. and Desblancs et al. fails to disclose the display comprising an elastomeric conductor arrangement. Beiswenger et al. teach that the drivers typically connect to the liquid crystal display terminals through a conductive elastomeric connector (see Col. 1, lines 58-61). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to use conductive elastomeric connector as

Art Unit: 2681

disclosed in Beiswenger et al. for the telephone of the combination since it provides endurance to the connection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika A Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,272

Art Unit: 2681

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(m)

PATENT EXAMINER